

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE APPLICATION OF:

MICHAEL FRYD

CASE NO.: PE0612USPCT

APPLICATION NO.: 09/807298

GROUP ART UNIT: 1752

FILED: APRIL 09, 2001

EXAMINER: YVETTE C. THORNTON

FOR: PHOTORESISTS AND PROCESSES FOR MICROLITHOGRAPHY

**RESPONSE TO APRIL 2, 2004 ADVISORY ACTION  
IN PARENT APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 09/807,298**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**DISCUSSION**

1. Claims 1-4, 10-15 and 27-33 stand rejected.
2. Claims 5-9, 16-26 and 34 stand "objected to" (allowable if: i. re-written in independent form; or ii. re-written to be dependent upon an independent claim).
3. In response, Applicants have amended the claims as follows:
  - 3.1 The rejected claims are no longer pending, but have been modified in accordance with the Examiner's objection.
  - 3.2 Specifically, the subject matter of "objected to" Claim 5 has been incorporated into Claim 1.
  - 3.3 Claim 1 (which is Claim 4 re-written in independent form) is allowable, as indicated in the Office Action.
  - 3.4 The remaining Claims are dependent upon (now allowable) Claim 1, and therefore are also allowable.

- 3.5 The Claim breadth triggering the rejection for Claims 1-4, 10-15 and 27-33 is no longer applicable, since the subject matter of (objected to, but otherwise allowable) Claim 5 has now been incorporated into these claims, and therefore their scope is commensurate with (objected to, but allowable) Claim 5, and the prior art rejection is no longer appropriate.

For the reasons stated, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration.

Respectfully submitted,



**KONRAD KAEDING**  
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS  
Registration No.: 32,035  
Telephone: (302) 992-2093  
Facsimile: (302) 892-7949

Dated: April 20, 2004